



The α -Arboricity of Complete Uniform Hypergraphs

Jean-Claude Bermond, Y.M Chee, Nathann Cohen, X. Zhang

► To cite this version:

Jean-Claude Bermond, Y.M Chee, Nathann Cohen, X. Zhang. The α -Arboricity of Complete Uniform Hypergraphs. SIAM Journal on Discrete Mathematics, 2011, 25 (2), pp.600-610. 10.1137/100806035 . inria-00589689

HAL Id: inria-00589689

<https://inria.hal.science/inria-00589689>

Submitted on 30 Apr 2011

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

THE α -ARBORICITY OF COMPLETE UNIFORM HYPERGRAPHS

J.-C. BERMOND[†], Y. M. CHEE[‡], N. COHEN[†], AND X. ZHANG[‡]

Abstract. α -Acyclicity is an important notion in database theory. The α -arboricity of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} is the minimum number of α -acyclic hypergraphs that partition the edge set of \mathcal{H} . The α -arboricity of the complete 3-uniform hypergraph is determined completely.

Key words. α -Arboricity, Acyclic hypergraph, Decomposition, Steiner system

AMS subject classifications. 05B07, 05C35

1. Introduction. There is a natural bijection between database schemas and hypergraphs, where each attribute of a database schema D corresponds to a vertex in a hypergraph \mathcal{H} , and each relation R of attributes in D corresponds to an edge in \mathcal{H} . Many properties of databases have therefore been studied in the context of hypergraphs. One such property of databases is the important notion of α -acyclicity. Besides being a desirable property in the design of databases [2, 3, 8, 9, 10], many NP-hard problems concerning databases can be solved in polynomial time when restricted to instances for which the corresponding hypergraphs are α -acyclic [3, 16, 19]. Examples of such problems include determining global consistency, evaluating conjunctive queries, and computing joins or projections of joins.

When faced with such computationally intractable problems on a general database schema, it is natural to decompose it into α -acyclic instances on which efficient algorithms can be applied. This has motivated some recent studies on the α -arboricity of hypergraphs, the minimum number of α -acyclic hypergraphs into which the edges of a given hypergraph can be partitioned [4, 14, 17].

In this paper, we give a general construction for partitioning complete uniform hypergraphs into α -acyclic hypergraphs based on Steiner systems, and completely determine the α -arboricity of complete 3-uniform hypergraphs.

2. Preliminaries. We assume familiarity with basic concepts and notions in graph theory.

Let n be a positive integer. The set $\{1, \dots, n\}$ is denoted $[n]$. Disjoint union of sets is denoted by \sqcup . We use \sqcup in place of \cup when we want to emphasize the disjointness of the sets involved in a union.

For X a finite set and k a nonnegative integer, the set of all k -subsets of X is denoted $\binom{X}{k}$, that is $\binom{X}{k} = \{K \subseteq X : |K| = k\}$. A *hypergraph* is a pair $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{A})$, where X is a finite set, and $\mathcal{A} \subseteq 2^X$. The elements of X are called *vertices* and the elements of \mathcal{A} are called *edges*. The *order* of \mathcal{H} is the number of vertices in X , and the *size* of \mathcal{H} is the number of edges in \mathcal{A} . If $\mathcal{A} \subseteq \binom{X}{k}$, then \mathcal{H} is said to be *k-uniform*.

[†]Project MASCOTTE, I3S (CNRS-UNS) and INRIA Sophia-Antipolis, 2004 Route des Lucioles, F 06902 Sophia-Antipolis, France (jean-claude.bermond@sophia.inria.fr(J.-C. Bermond), nathann.cohen@sophia.inria.fr(N. Cohen)). The third author's research is partially supported by the ANR Blanc AGAPE and ANR Blanc International-Taiwan GRATEL.

[‡]Division of Mathematical Sciences, School of Physical and Mathematical Sciences, Nanyang Technological University, Singapore 637371 (ymchee@ntu.edu.sg(Y. M. Chee), xiandezhang@ntu.edu.sg(X. Zhang)). The second author's research is supported in part by the National Research Foundation of Singapore under Research Grant NRF-CRP2-2007-03 and by the Nanyang Technological University under Research Grant M58110040. The fourth author's research is partially supported by the National Research Foundation of Singapore under Research Grant NRF-CRP2-2007-03.

A 2-uniform hypergraph is just the usual notion of a *graph*. The *complete k -uniform hypergraph* $(X, \binom{X}{k})$ of order n is denoted $K_n^{(k)}$. A hypergraph is *empty* if it has no edges. The degree of a vertex v is the number of edges containing v .

A *Steiner system* $S(t, k, n)$ is a k -uniform hypergraph (X, \mathcal{A}) such that every $T \in \binom{X}{t}$ is contained in exactly one edge in \mathcal{A} .

A *group divisible design* k -GDD is a triple $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$, where (X, \mathcal{A}) is a k -uniform hypergraph, $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_t\}$ is a partition of X into parts G_i , $i \in [t]$, called *groups*, such that every $T \in \binom{X}{2}$ not contained in a group is contained in exactly one edge in \mathcal{A} , and every $T \in \binom{X}{2}$ contained in a group is not contained in any edge in \mathcal{A} . The *type* of a k -GDD $(X, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ is the multiset $[|G_1|, \dots, |G_t|]$. The exponential notation $g_1^{t_1} \dots g_s^{t_s}$ is used to denote the multiset where element g_i has multiplicity t_i , $i \in [s]$.

We require the following result from Colbourn *et al.* [5] on the existence of 3-GDDs.

THEOREM 2.1 (Colbourn, Hoffman, and Rees [5]). *Let g , t , and u be nonnegative integers. There exists a 3-GDD of type $g^t u^1$ if and only if the following conditions are all satisfied:*

- (i) *if $g > 0$ then $t \geq 3$, or $t = 2$ and $u = g$, or $t = 1$ and $u = 0$, or $t = 0$;*
- (ii) *$u \leq g(t-1)$ or $gt = 0$;*
- (iii) *$g(t-1) + u \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ or $gt = 0$;*
- (iv) *$gt \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$ or $u = 0$;*
- (v) *$g^2 \binom{t}{2} + gtu \equiv 0 \pmod{3}$.*

2.1. Graphs of Hypergraphs. Given a hypergraph \mathcal{H} , we may define the following graphs on \mathcal{H} .

DEFINITION 2.2. *Let $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{A})$ be a hypergraph. The line graph of \mathcal{H} is the graph $L(\mathcal{H}) = (V, \mathcal{E})$, where $V = \mathcal{A}$ and $\mathcal{E} = \{\{A, B\} \subseteq \binom{V}{2} : A \cap B \neq \emptyset\}$.*

DEFINITION 2.3. *Let $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{A})$ be a hypergraph. The primal graph or 2-section of \mathcal{H} is the graph $G(\mathcal{H}) = (X, \mathcal{E})$ such that $\{x, y\} \in \mathcal{E}$ if and only if $\{x, y\} \subset A$ for some $A \in \mathcal{A}$.*

A hypergraph \mathcal{H} is *conformal* if for every clique K in $G(\mathcal{H})$, there is an edge in \mathcal{H} that contains K . A hypergraph \mathcal{H} is *chordal* if $G(\mathcal{H})$ is chordal, that is, every cycle of length at least four in $G(\mathcal{H})$ contains two nonconsecutive vertices that are adjacent.

2.2. Acyclic Hypergraphs. Graham [11], and independently, Yu and Ozsoyoglu [20, 21], defined an acyclicity property (which has come to be known as α -acyclicity) for hypergraphs in the context of database theory, via a transformation now known as the *GYO reduction*. Given a hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{A})$, the GYO reduction applies the following operations repeatedly to \mathcal{H} until none can be applied:

- (i) If a vertex $x \in X$ has degree one, then delete x from the edge containing it.
- (ii) If $A, B \in \mathcal{A}$ are distinct edges such that $A \subseteq B$, then delete A from \mathcal{A} .
- (iii) If $A \in \mathcal{A}$ is empty, that is $A = \emptyset$, then delete A from \mathcal{A} .

DEFINITION 2.4. *A hypergraph \mathcal{H} is α -acyclic if GYO reduction on \mathcal{H} results in an empty hypergraph.*

The notion of α -acyclicity is closely related to conformality and chordality for hypergraphs. Beeri *et al.* [3] showed:

THEOREM 2.5 (Beeri *et al.* [3]). *\mathcal{H} is α -acyclic if and only if \mathcal{H} is conformal and chordal.*

Let $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{A})$ be a hypergraph. Assign to every edge $\{A, B\}$ of $L(\mathcal{H})$ the weight $|A \cap B|$. We denote this weighted line graph of \mathcal{H} by $L'(\mathcal{H})$. The maximum weight of a forest in $L'(\mathcal{H})$ is denoted $w(\mathcal{H})$. Acharya and Las Vergnas [1] introduced the

hypergraph invariant

$$\mu(\mathcal{H}) = \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |A| - \left| \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A \right| - w(\mathcal{H}),$$

called the *cyclomatic number* of \mathcal{H} , and used it to characterize conformal and chordal hypergraphs.

THEOREM 2.6 (Acharya and Las Vergnas [1]). *A hypergraph \mathcal{H} satisfies $\mu(\mathcal{H}) = 0$ if and only if \mathcal{H} is conformal and chordal.*

Theorem 2.5 and Theorem 2.6 immediately imply the following.

COROLLARY 2.7. *A hypergraph \mathcal{H} is α -acyclic if and only if $\mu(\mathcal{H}) = 0$.*

Li and Wang [15] were unaware of these connections and rediscovered Corollary 2.7 recently. An easy consequence is that a maximum α -acyclic k -uniform hypergraph of order n has size $n - k + 1$ [18]. Let $L_{k-1}(\mathcal{H})$ denote the spanning subgraph of $L'(\mathcal{H})$ containing only those edges of $L'(\mathcal{H})$ of weight $k - 1$. We derive the following characterizations of maximum α -acyclic k -uniform hypergraphs.

COROLLARY 2.8. *A k -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{A})$ of order n and size $n - k + 1$ is α -acyclic if and only if $L(\mathcal{H})$ contains a spanning tree, each edge of which has weight $k - 1$ (in other words, $L_{k-1}(\mathcal{H})$ is connected).*

Proof. By Corollary 2.7, we have

$$\begin{aligned} w(\mathcal{H}) &= \sum_{A \in \mathcal{A}} |A| - \left| \bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} A \right| \\ &= (n - k + 1)k - n \\ &= (n - k)(k - 1). \end{aligned}$$

Since every edge in $L'(\mathcal{H})$ has weight at most $k - 1$, and a forest of $L'(\mathcal{H})$ contains at most $n - k$ edges (and contains exactly $n - k$ edges if and only if the forest is a spanning tree), the corollary follows. \square

An α -acyclic decomposition of a hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{A})$ is a set of α -acyclic hypergraphs $\{(X, \mathcal{A}_i)\}_{i=1}^c$ such that $\mathcal{A}_1, \dots, \mathcal{A}_c$ partition \mathcal{A} , that is, $\mathcal{A} = \bigsqcup_{i=1}^c \mathcal{A}_i$. The size of the α -acyclic decomposition is c .

DEFINITION 2.9. *The α -arboricity of a hypergraph \mathcal{H} , denoted $\alpha\text{arb}(\mathcal{H})$, is the minimum size of an α -acyclic decomposition of \mathcal{H} .*

3. Previous Work. Trivially, $\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(1)}) = \alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(n)}) = 1$, since both $K_n^{(1)}$ and $K_n^{(n)}$ are α -acyclic. It is also known that $\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(2)}) = \alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(n-1)}) = \lceil n/2 \rceil$ (see, for example, [4]). Li [14] also showed that $\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(n-2)}) = \lceil n(n-1)/6 \rceil$. In general, Li [14] showed that

$$\left\lceil \frac{1}{k} \binom{n}{k-1} \right\rceil \leq \alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(k)}) \leq \frac{1}{2} \binom{n+1}{k-1}. \quad (3.1)$$

The upper and lower bounds in (3.1) differ by approximately a factor of $k/2$. Wang [17] conjectured the lower bound to be the true value of $\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(k)})$.

CONJECTURE 3.1. $\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(k)}) = \left\lceil \frac{1}{k} \binom{n}{k-1} \right\rceil$.

Recently, Chee et al. [4] showed that Conjecture 3.1 holds when $k = n - 3$, so that Conjecture 3.1 is now known to hold for all n , when $k = 1, 2, n - 3, n - 2, n - 1, n$.

Chee et al. [4] also showed that Conjecture 3.1 holds whenever there exists a Steiner system $S(n-k, n-k+1, n)$, and that Conjecture 3.1 holds in an asymptotic sense when k is large enough. More precisely, the following was obtained.

THEOREM 3.2 (Chee et al. [4]). *Let δ be a positive constant. Then for $k = n - O(\log^{1-\delta} n)$, we have*

$$\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(k)}) = (1 + o(1)) \frac{1}{k} \binom{n}{k-1},$$

where the $o(1)$ is in n .

4. Decompositions based on Steiner Systems. First, note that the cardinality of the Steiner system $S(k-1, k, n)$ is precisely $\frac{1}{k} \binom{n}{k-1}$, i.e., when such a system exists, the lower bound given by equation 3.1. Therefore, the idea of our construction consists in using the blocks of a $S(k-1, k, n)$ as *centers* of our partitions of $K_n^{(k)}$ into α -acyclic hypergraphs. Each of these hypergraphs is based on a *center* C (in this case a block from the Steiner system) to which are added $n-3$ edges, each of which intersect the *center* on $k-1$ vertices (we name these hypergraphs *star-shaped*). The reader may find it helpful to consult Fig.4.1, which illustrates the following proof for $n=7$ and $k=3$, using the Steiner triple system $S(2, 3, 7)$ $(\mathbb{Z}_7, \mathcal{A})$, with $\mathcal{A} = \{\{i, i+1, i+3\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_7\}$.

THEOREM 4.1. *If there exists an $S(k-1, k, n)$, then $\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(k)}) = \frac{1}{k} \binom{n}{k-1}$.*


Proof. Let k and n be positive integers, $2 \leq k \leq n$. Let (X, \mathcal{A}) be an $S(k-1, k, n)$. Define a bipartite graph G with bipartition $V(G) = P \sqcup Q$, where $P = \{(A, x) : A \in \mathcal{A} \text{ and } x \in X \setminus A\}$ and $Q = \binom{X}{k} \setminus \mathcal{A}$, so that vertex $(A, x) \in P$ is adjacent to vertex $K \in Q$ if and only if $K \subset A \cup \{x\}$. Thus, the neighborhood of vertex $(A, x) \in P$ is the set $N(A, x) = \{(A \cup \{x\}) \setminus \{u\} : u \in A\}$, and the neighborhood of vertex $K \in Q$ is the set $N(K) = \{(A, x) : x \in K, A \in \mathcal{A}, \text{ and } K \setminus \{x\} \subset A\}$. Evidently, $|N(A, x)| = k$ for all $(A, x) \in P$. To see that $|N(K)| = k$ for all $K \in Q$, note that each of the $k(k-1)$ -subsets of K is contained in exactly one $A \in \mathcal{A}$, since (X, \mathcal{A}) is an $S(k-1, k, n)$. It follows that $|N(A, x)| = |N(K)| = k$, and G is k -regular. Hence, G has a perfect matching M .

Now, for each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let us define the k -uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_A = (X, \mathcal{B}_A)$, where $\mathcal{B}_A = \{A\} \cup \{K \in Q : \{(A, x), K\} \in M \text{ for some } x \in X \setminus A\}$. It is easy to check that $\binom{X}{k} = \bigsqcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}_A$. We claim that, in fact, the set of hypergraphs $\{\mathcal{H}_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$ is an α -acyclic decomposition of $(X, \binom{X}{k})$. To see this, note that \mathcal{H}_A has order n and size $n-k+1$, and observe that each edge in $\mathcal{B}_A \setminus \{A\}$ intersects A in exactly $k-1$ vertices. Hence, $L_{k-1}(\mathcal{H}_A)$ is connected. It follows from Corollary 2.8 that \mathcal{H}_A is α -acyclic. The size of the α -acyclic decomposition $\{\mathcal{H}_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$ is the size of an $S(k-1, k, n)$, which is precisely $\frac{1}{k} \binom{n}{k-1}$. \square

COROLLARY 4.2. *We have $\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(k)}) = \frac{1}{k} \binom{n}{k-1}$ whenever any one of the following conditions hold:*

- (i) $k=2$ and $n \equiv 0 \pmod{2}$, or
- (ii) $k=3$ and $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, or
- (iii) $k=4$ and $n \equiv 2, 4 \pmod{6}$, or
- (iv) $k=5$ and $n \in \{11, 23, 35, 47, 71, 83, 107, 131\}$, or
- (v) $k=6$ and $n \in \{12, 24, 36, 48, 72, 84, 108, 132\}$.

Proof. For (i), note that an $S(1, 2, n)$ is a perfect matching in the complete graph K_n , and hence exists if and only if n is even. For (ii), an $S(2, 3, n)$ is a Steiner triple system and exists if and only if $n \equiv 1$ or $3 \pmod{6}$ (see, for example, [7]). For (iii),

FIG. 4.1. Case $n = 7, k = 3$

an $S(3, 4, n)$ is a Steiner quadruple system, existence for which was settled by Hanani [13], who showed that $n \equiv 2$ or $4 \pmod{6}$ is necessary and sufficient. For (iv)–(v), see [12, 6] for existence results. \square

5. α -Arboricity of $K_n^{(3)}$. We determine $\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(3)})$ completely in this section. Corollary 4.2 determined $\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(3)})$ for all $n \equiv 1, 3 \pmod{6}$, so we focus on the remaining cases of $n \equiv 0, 2, 4, 5 \pmod{6}$ here.

5.1. The Case $n \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{6}$. In this subsection, $n \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{6}$, $n \geq 4$.

Let $X = Y \sqcup Z$, where $|Y| = n - 3$ and $Z = \{\infty_1, \infty_2, \infty_3\}$. Let (Y, \mathcal{A}) be an $S(2, 3, n - 3)$.

Our proof here is similar to the one given previously. Our classes, however, are now of two different kinds: not only do we need our former *star-shaped* hypergraphs whose *centers* belong to a Steiner triple system on Y , but also classes whose *centers* are two triples $\{y, \infty_1, \infty_2\}$ and $\{y, \infty_1, \infty_3\}$ (intersecting on y, ∞_1), for all $y \in Y$. As previously, any edge of our α -acyclic hypergraphs intersects at least one edge of its center on exactly two vertices. The decomposition is completed by another *star-shaped* class containing the triples $\{y, \infty_2, \infty_3\}$ where $y \in X \setminus \{\infty_2, \infty_3\}$.

We define the bipartite graph Γ with bipartition $V(\Gamma) = P \sqcup Q$, where

$$P = \left(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \{(A, x) : x \in X \setminus A\} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{y \in Y} \{(\{y, \infty_1, \infty_2\}, \{y, \infty_1, \infty_3\}), z\} : z \in Y \setminus \{y\} \right),$$

$$Q = \binom{X}{3} \setminus (\mathcal{A} \cup \{\{y, \infty_1, \infty_2\}, \{y, \infty_1, \infty_3\}, \{y, \infty_2, \infty_3\} : y \in Y\} \cup \{Z\}),$$

with adjacency of vertices in Γ as follows:

(i) Vertex $(\{a, b, c\}, x) \in P$ is adjacent to vertices $\{a, b, x\}, \{a, c, x\}, \{b, c, x\} \in Q$.

(ii) Vertex $(\{y, \infty_1, \infty_2\}, \{y, \infty_1, \infty_3\}, z) \in P$ is adjacent to vertices $\{y, z, \infty_h\} \in Q, h \in [3]$.

Every vertex in P being of degree 3, let us prove the same holds for the vertices of Q . For any pair of vertices $u, v \in Y$, we name A_{uv} the unique triple of \mathcal{A} containing both u and v .

(i) $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq Y$ is adjacent to $(A_{ab}, c), (A_{bc}, a),$ and (A_{ac}, b)

(ii) $\{a, b, \infty_h\} \in Q$ is adjacent to $(A_{ab}, \infty_h), (\{b, \infty_1, \infty_2\}, \{b, \infty_1, \infty_3\}, a),$ and $(\{a, \infty_1, \infty_2\}, \{a, \infty_1, \infty_3\}, b)$.

Hence Γ is 3-regular, and consequently has a perfect matching M .

For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let us define the 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_A = (X, \mathcal{B}_A)$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_A = \{A\} \cup \{T \in Q : \{(A, x), T\} \in M \text{ for some } x \in X \setminus A\}.$$

Then \mathcal{H}_A is of order n and size $n - 2$. Each edge in $\mathcal{B}_A \setminus \{A\}$ intersects A in exactly two vertices. Hence, $L_2(\mathcal{H}_A)$ is connected. It follows from Corollary 2.8 that \mathcal{H}_A is α -acyclic.

In addition, for each $y \in Y$, define the 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_y = (X, \mathcal{B}_y)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_y = & \{\{y, \infty_1, \infty_2\}, \{y, \infty_1, \infty_3\}\} \cup \\ & \{T \in Q : \{(\{y, \infty_1, \infty_2\}, \{y, \infty_1, \infty_3\}, z), T\} \in M \text{ for some } z \in Y \setminus \{y\}\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{H}_y is of order n and size $n - 2$. In $L_2(\mathcal{H}_y)$, the vertex $\{y, \infty_1, \infty_2\}$ is adjacent to $\{y, \infty_1, \infty_3\}$, and each vertex in $\mathcal{B}_y \setminus \{\{y, \infty_1, \infty_2\}, \{y, \infty_1, \infty_3\}\}$ is adjacent to one of the vertices $\{y, \infty_1, \infty_2\}$ or $\{y, \infty_1, \infty_3\}$. Hence, $L_2(\mathcal{H}_y)$ is connected. It follows from Corollary 2.8 that \mathcal{H}_y is α -acyclic.

Finally, define the 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{B})$, where $\mathcal{B} = \{\{y, \infty_2, \infty_3\} : y \in X \setminus \{\infty_2, \infty_3\}\}$. Note that \mathcal{H} is α -acyclic, since it GYO-reduces to an empty hypergraph.

Now, we have

$$\binom{X}{3} = \left(\bigsqcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}_A \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{y \in Y} \mathcal{B}_y \right) \sqcup \mathcal{B},$$

so that $\{\mathcal{H}_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \cup \{\mathcal{H}_y\}_{y \in Y} \cup \{\mathcal{H}\}$ is an α -acyclic decomposition of $K_n^{(3)}$. The size of this decomposition is

$$\frac{(n-3)(n-4)}{6} + (n-3) + 1 = \frac{n(n-1)}{6},$$

which matches the lower bound in (3.1). This gives the following result.

PROPOSITION 5.1. $\alpha_{\text{arb}}(K_n^{(3)}) = n(n-1)/6$ for all $n \equiv 0, 4 \pmod{6}$.

5.2. The Case $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$. In this subsection, $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$, $n \geq 5$. Write $n = 6k + 5$. Let $X = Y \sqcup \{\infty_1, \infty_2\}$, where $|Y| = 6k + 3$, and let $(Y, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ be a 3-GDD of type 3^{2k+1} , which exists by Theorem 2.1. Our construction is still based on *star-shaped* hypergraphs *centered* on the triples of the 3-GDD, but this time we will need to define *centers* consisting of 3 triples, pairwise intersecting on two elements. Also, for numerical reasons, $2k + 1$ of our classes are of order only $n - 2$ and size $n - 4$.

Suppose $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_{2k+1}\}$, where $G_i = \{g_{i,1}, g_{i,2}, g_{i,3}\}$, $i \in [2k+1]$. To keep our expressions succinct, we let

$$T_{i,j,j'}^h = \{g_{i,j}, g_{i,j'}, \infty_h\}$$

for $i \in [2k+1]$, $1 \leq j < j' \leq 3$ and $h \in [2]$ and

$$G_{i,j} = \{g_{i,j}, \infty_1, \infty_2\}$$

for $i \in [2k+1]$ and $j \in [3]$.

Define the bipartite graph Γ with bipartition $V(\Gamma) = P \sqcup Q$, where

$$\begin{aligned} P &= \left(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \{(A, x) : x \in X \setminus A\} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \{(G, x) : x \in Y \setminus G\} \right) \cup \\ &\quad \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{2k+1} \{(T_{i,1,2}^1, T_{i,1,3}^1, G_{i,1}, x) : x \in Y \setminus G_i\} \right) \cup \\ &\quad \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{2k+1} \{(T_{i,1,2}^2, T_{i,2,3}^2, G_{i,2}, x) : x \in Y \setminus G_i\} \right), \\ Q &= \binom{X}{3} \setminus \left(\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{G} \cup \bigcup_{\substack{i,h \\ j < j'}} \{T_{i,j,j'}^h, T_{i,j,j'}^h, T_{i,j,j'}^h\} \cup \bigcup_{i,j} G_{i,j} \right), \end{aligned}$$

with adjacency of vertices in Γ as follows:

- (i) Vertex $(\{a, b, c\}, x) \in P$ is adjacent to vertices $\{a, b, x\}, \{a, c, x\}, \{b, c, x\} \in Q$.
- (ii) Vertex $(T_{i,1,2}^1, T_{i,1,3}^1, G_{i,1}, x) \in P$ is adjacent to vertices $\{g_{i,\ell}, \infty_1, x\} \in Q$, $\ell \in [3]$.
- (iii) Vertex $(T_{i,1,2}^2, T_{i,2,3}^2, G_{i,2}, x) \in P$ is adjacent to vertices $\{g_{i,\ell}, \infty_2, x\} \in Q$, $\ell \in [3]$.

Every vertex in P being of degree 3, let us prove the same holds for the vertices of Q . $\forall u, v \in Y$, we name A_{uv} the unique triple of $\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{G}$ containing both u and v .

- (i) $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq Y$ is adjacent to (A_{ab}, c) , (A_{bc}, a) , and (A_{ac}, b) .
- (ii) $\{a, b, \infty_1\} \in Q$, where $a \in G_i$ and $b \in G_{i'}$ with $i \neq i'$, is adjacent to (A_{ab}, ∞_1) , $(T_{i,1,2}^1, T_{i,1,3}^1, G_{i,1}, b)$ and $(T_{i',1,2}^1, T_{i',1,3}^1, G_{i',1}, a)$.
- (iii) $\{a, b, \infty_2\} \in Q$, where $a \in G_i$ and $b \in G_{i'}$ with $i \neq i'$, is adjacent to (A_{ab}, ∞_2) , $(T_{i,1,2}^2, T_{i,2,3}^2, G_{i,2}, b)$ and $(T_{i',1,2}^2, T_{i',2,3}^2, G_{i',2}, a)$.

Hence Γ is 3-regular, and consequently has a perfect matching M .

For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let us define the 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_A = (X, \mathcal{B}_A)$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_A = \{A\} \cup \{T \in Q : \{(A, x), T\} \in M \text{ for some } x \in X \setminus A\}.$$

Then \mathcal{H}_A is of order n and size $n - 2$. Each edge in $\mathcal{B}_A \setminus \{A\}$ intersects A in exactly two vertices. Hence, $L_2(\mathcal{H}_A)$ is connected. It follows from Corollary 2.8 that \mathcal{H}_A is α -acyclic.

In addition, for each $G \in \mathcal{G}$, define the 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_G = (Y, \mathcal{B}_G)$, where $\mathcal{B}_G = \{G\} \cup \{T \in Q : \{(G, x), T\} \in M \text{ for some } x \in Y \setminus G\}$. Then \mathcal{H}_G is of order $n - 2$ and size $n - 4$. By the same reason as for \mathcal{H}_A , \mathcal{H}_G is α -acyclic.

Furthermore, for each $i \in [2k+1]$, define the 3-uniform hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}_i = (X, \mathcal{B}_i)$ and $\mathcal{H}'_i = (X, \mathcal{B}'_i)$, where

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_i &= \{T_{i,1,2}^1, T_{i,1,3}^1, G_{i,1}\} \cup \{T \in Q : \{(T_{i,1,2}^1, T_{i,1,3}^1, G_{i,1}, x), T\} \in M \text{ for some} \\ &\quad x \in Y \setminus G_i\}, \\ \mathcal{B}'_i &= \{T_{i,1,2}^2, T_{i,2,3}^2, G_{i,2}\} \cup \{T \in Q : \{(T_{i,1,2}^2, T_{i,2,3}^2, G_{i,2}, x), T\} \in M \text{ for some} \\ &\quad x \in Y \setminus G_i\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{H}_i and \mathcal{H}'_i are each of order n and size $n - 2$. In $L_2(\mathcal{H}_i)$ (respectively, $L_2(\mathcal{H}'_i)$), the vertex $T_{i,1,2}^1$ (respectively, $T_{i,1,2}^2$) is adjacent to vertices $T_{i,1,3}^1$ and $G_{i,1}$ (respectively, $T_{i,2,3}^2$ and $G_{i,2}$), and each vertex in $\mathcal{B}_i \setminus \{T_{i,1,2}^1, T_{i,1,3}^1, G_{i,1}\}$ (respectively, $\mathcal{B}'_i \setminus \{T_{i,1,2}^2, T_{i,2,3}^2, G_{i,2}\}$) is adjacent to at least one of the vertices $T_{i,1,2}^1, T_{i,1,3}^1, G_{i,1}$ (respectively, $T_{i,1,2}^2, T_{i,2,3}^2, G_{i,2}$). Hence $L_2(\mathcal{H}_i)$ (respectively, $L_2(\mathcal{H}'_i)$) is connected. It follows from Corollary 2.8 that \mathcal{H}_i (respectively, \mathcal{H}'_i) is α -acyclic.

Finally, define the 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{B})$, where

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k+1} \{T_{i,1,3}^2, T_{i,2,3}^1, G_{i,3}\}.$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{H} is α -acyclic.

Now, we have

$$\binom{X}{3} = \left(\bigsqcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}_A \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \mathcal{B}_G \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{2k+1} \mathcal{B}_i \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{2k+1} \mathcal{B}'_i \right) \sqcup \mathcal{B},$$

so that $\{\mathcal{H}_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \cup \{\mathcal{H}_G\}_{G \in \mathcal{G}} \cup \{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in [2k+1]} \cup \{\mathcal{H}'_i\}_{i \in [2k+1]} \cup \{\mathcal{H}\}$ is an α -acyclic decomposition of $K_n^{(3)}$. The size of this decomposition is

$$\begin{aligned}3 \binom{2k+1}{2} + (2k+1) + (2k+1) + (2k+1) + 1 &= 6k^2 + 9k + 4 \\ &= \left\lceil \frac{n(n-1)}{6} \right\rceil,\end{aligned}$$

which matches the lower bound in (3.1). This gives the following result.

PROPOSITION 5.2. $\alpha\text{arb}(K_n^{(3)}) = \lceil n(n-1)/6 \rceil$ for all $n \equiv 5 \pmod{6}$.

5.3. The Case $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$. We treat the remaining case of $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$.

LEMMA 5.3. $\alpha\text{arb}(K_8^{(3)}) = 10$.

Proof. The lower bound in (3.1) showed that $\alpha\text{arb}(K_8^{(3)}) \geq 10$. We construct an α -acyclic decomposition meeting this lower bound.

Consider the $S(2, 3, 7)$, $(\mathbb{Z}_7, \mathcal{A})$, with $\mathcal{A} = \{\{i, i+1, i+3\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_7\}$. Let $\{\mathcal{H}_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}}$ be the α -acyclic decomposition of $(\mathbb{Z}_7, \binom{\mathbb{Z}_7}{3})$ produced by the construction of Section 4. We use this to construct an α -acyclic decomposition of $K_8^{(3)}$ as follows. Let

$X = \mathbb{Z}_7 \sqcup \{\infty\}$, and let

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{B}_1 &= \{\{i, i+1, \infty\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \setminus \{0\}\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_2 &= \{\{i, i+3, \infty\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \setminus \{1\}\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_3 &= \{\{i+1, i+3, \infty\} : i \in \mathbb{Z}_7 \setminus \{2\}\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_4 &= E(\mathcal{H}_{\{0,1,3\}}) \cup \{\{0, 1, \infty\}\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_5 &= E(\mathcal{H}_{\{1,2,4\}}) \cup \{\{1, 4, \infty\}\}, \\ \mathcal{B}_6 &= E(\mathcal{H}_{\{2,3,5\}}) \cup \{\{3, 5, \infty\}\}.\end{aligned}$$

Then $\{(X, \mathcal{B}_i)\}_{i \in [6]} \cup \{\mathcal{H}_{\{3,4,6\}}, \mathcal{H}_{\{0,4,5\}}, \mathcal{H}_{\{1,5,6\}}, \mathcal{H}_{\{0,2,6\}}\}$ is an α -acyclic decomposition of $(X, \binom{X}{3})$ of size 10. \square

Henceforth, in what follows, let $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, $n \geq 14$. Write $n = 6k + 2$. Let $X = Y \sqcup \{\infty\}$, where $|Y| = 6k + 1$, and let $(Y, \mathcal{G}, \mathcal{A})$ be a 3-GDD of type $3^{2k}1^1$, which exists by Theorem 2.1. Here again, we use *star-shaped* hypergraphs *centered* on the triples of \mathcal{A} , but also classes whose *centers* consist of two triples intersecting in 2 elements. They will be completed with a last *star-shaped* class of order $2k + 2$ and size $2k$ (in order to reach the bound).

Suppose $\mathcal{G} = \{G_1, \dots, G_{2k}, \{g\}\}$, where $G_i = \{g_{i,1}, g_{i,2}, g_{i,3}\}$, $i \in [2k]$. To keep our expressions succinct, we let

$$\begin{aligned}G'_i &= \{g_{i,1}, g_{i,2}, \infty\}, \\ G''_i &= \{g_{i,1}, g_{i,3}, \infty\}, \\ G'''_i &= \{g_{i,2}, g_{i,3}, \infty\},\end{aligned}$$

for $i \in [2k]$. Define the bipartite graph Γ with bipartition $V(\Gamma) = P \sqcup Q$, where

$$\begin{aligned}P &= \left(\bigcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \{(A, x) : x \in X \setminus A\} \right) \cup \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{2k} \{(G_i, G''_i, x) : x \in Y \setminus G_i\} \right) \cup \\ &\quad \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{2k} \{(G'_i, G'''_i, x) : x \in Y \setminus G_i\} \right) \cup \{G_i : i \in [2k]\}, \\ Q &= \binom{X}{3} \setminus (\mathcal{A} \cup \mathcal{G} \cup \{G'_i, G''_i, G'''_i : i \in [2k]\}),\end{aligned}$$

with adjacency of vertices in Γ as follows:

- (i) Vertex $(\{a, b, c\}, x) \in P$ is adjacent to vertices $\{a, b, x\}, \{a, c, x\}, \{b, c, x\} \in Q$.
- (ii) Vertex $(G_i, G''_i, x) \in P$ is adjacent to vertices $\{g_{i,1}, g_{i,2}, x\}, \{g_{i,1}, g_{i,3}, x\}, \{g_{i,2}, g_{i,3}, x\} \in Q$.
- (iii) Vertex $(G'_i, G'''_i, x) \in P$ is adjacent to vertices $\{g_{i,1}, \infty, x\}, \{g_{i,2}, \infty, x\}, \{g_{i,3}, \infty, x\} \in Q$.
- (iv) Vertex $G_i \in P$ is adjacent to vertices $\{g_{i,j}, g, \infty\} \in Q$, $j \in [3]$.

Every vertex in P being of degree 3, let us prove the same holds for the vertices of Q . $\forall u, v \in Y$, we name A_{uv} the unique triple of \mathcal{A} containing both u and v .

- (i) $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq Y$, where a, b , and c belong to 3 different groups, is adjacent to (A_{ab}, c) , (A_{ac}, b) , and (A_{bc}, a) .

- (ii) $\{a, b, c\} \subseteq Y$, where a and b belong to the same group G_i and $c \notin G_i$, is adjacent to (A_{ac}, b) , (A_{bc}, a) and (G_i, G''_i, c) .

(iii) $\{g_{i,j}, g_{i',j'}, \infty\} \in Q$ (hence $i \neq i'$) is adjacent to $(A_{g_{i,j}g_{i',j'}}, \infty)$, $(G'_i, G'''_i, g_{i',j'})$ and $(G'_{i'}, G'''_{i'}, g_{i,j})$.

(iv) $\{g_{i,j}, g, \infty\}$ is adjacent to $(A_{g_{i,j}g}, \infty)$, G_i , and (G'_i, G'''_i, g) .

Hence Γ is 3-regular, and consequently has a perfect matching M .

For each $A \in \mathcal{A}$, let us define the 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H}_A = (X, \mathcal{B}_A)$, where

$$\mathcal{B}_A = \{A\} \cup \{T \in Q : \{(A, x), T\} \in M \text{ for some } x \in X \setminus A\}.$$

Then \mathcal{H}_A is of order n and size $n - 2$. Each edge in $\mathcal{B}_A \setminus \{A\}$ intersects A in exactly two vertices. Hence, $L_2(\mathcal{H}_A)$ is connected. It follows from Corollary 2.8 that \mathcal{H}_A is α -acyclic.

In addition, for each $i \in [2k]$, define the 3-uniform hypergraphs $\mathcal{H}_i = (X, \mathcal{B}_i)$ and $\mathcal{H}'_i = (X, \mathcal{B}'_i)$, where

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{B}_i &= \{G_i, G''_i\} \cup \{T \in Q : \{(G_i, G''_i, x), T\} \in M \text{ for some } x \in Y \setminus G_i\}, \\ \mathcal{B}'_i &= \{G'_i, G'''_i\} \cup \{T \in Q : \{(G'_i, G'''_i, x), T\} \in M \text{ for some } x \in Y \setminus G_i\}. \end{aligned}$$

Then \mathcal{H}_i and \mathcal{H}'_i are each of order n and size $n - 2$. By the same reason as for \mathcal{H}_A , \mathcal{H}_i and \mathcal{H}'_i are α -acyclic.

Finally, define the 3-uniform hypergraph $\mathcal{H} = (X, \mathcal{B})$, where

$$\mathcal{B} = \bigcup_{i=1}^{2k} \{T \in Q : \{G_i, T\} \in M\}.$$

It is easy to see that \mathcal{H} is α -acyclic, has order $2k + 2$ and size $2k$.

Now, we have

$$\binom{X}{3} = \left(\bigsqcup_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \mathcal{B}_A \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{2k} \mathcal{B}_i \right) \sqcup \left(\bigsqcup_{i=1}^{2k} \mathcal{B}'_i \right) \sqcup \mathcal{B},$$

so that $\{\mathcal{H}_A\}_{A \in \mathcal{A}} \cup \{\mathcal{H}_i\}_{i \in [2k]} \cup \{\mathcal{H}'_i\}_{i \in [2k]} \cup \{\mathcal{H}\}$ is an α -acyclic decomposition of $K_n^{(3)}$. The size of this decomposition is

$$\begin{aligned} & \left(3 \binom{2k}{2} + 2k \right) + 2k + 2k + 1 = 6k^2 + 3k + 1 \\ & = \left\lceil \frac{n(n-1)}{6} \right\rceil, \end{aligned}$$

which matches the lower bound in (3.1). Together with Lemma 5.3, this gives the following result.

PROPOSITION 5.4. $\alpha \text{arb}(K_n^{(3)}) = \lceil n(n-1)/6 \rceil$ for all $n \equiv 2 \pmod{6}$, $n \geq 8$.

5.4. Summary. Corollary 4.2(i), and Propositions 5.1, 5.2, 5.4, combine to give:

THEOREM 5.5. $\alpha \text{arb}(K_n^{(3)}) = \lceil n(n-1)/6 \rceil$ for all $n \geq 3$.

6. Conclusion. The problem of determining the α -arboricity of hypergraphs is a problem motivated by database theory. In this paper, we continue the study of the α -arboricity of complete uniform hypergraphs. We give a general construction based on Steiner systems and determine completely the value of $\alpha \text{arb}(K_n^{(3)})$. Previously, $\alpha \text{arb}(K_n^{(k)})$ was only known for $k = 1, 2, n - 3, n - 2, n - 1, n$.

Acknowledgments. We thank Dorian Mazauric for his helpful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] B. D. ACHARYA AND M. LAS VERGNAS, *Hypergraphs with cyclomatic number zero, triangulated graphs, and an inequality*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. B, 33 (1982), pp. 52–56.
- [2] C. BEERI, R. FAGIN, D. MAIER, A. O. MENDELZON, J. D. ULLMAN, and M. Yannakakis, *Properties of acyclic database schemes*, STOC 1981 – Proceedings of the 13th Annual ACM Symposium on Theory of Computing, ACM Press, 1981, pp. 355–362.
- [3] C. BEERI, R. FAGIN, D. MAIER, AND M. YANNAKAKIS, *On the desirability of acyclic database schemes*, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 30 (1983), pp. 479–513.
- [4] Y. M. CHEE, L. JI, A. LIM, B. C. OOI, AND A. K. H. TUNG, *Arboricity: an acyclic hypergraph decomposition problem motivated by database theory*, Preprint, 2009.
- [5] C. J. COLBOURN, D. G. HOFFMAN, AND R. REES, *A new class of group divisible designs with block size three*, J. Combin. Theory Ser. A 59 (1992), pp. 73–89.
- [6] C. J. COLBOURN AND R. MATHON, *Steiner systems*, The CRC Handbook of Combinatorial Designs (C. J. Colbourn and J. H. Dinitz, eds.), CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2nd ed., 2007, pp. 102–110.
- [7] C. J. COLBOURN AND A. ROSA, *Triple Systems*, Oxford Mathematical Monographs, Oxford University Press, New York, 1999.
- [8] R. FAGIN, *Degrees of acyclicity for hypergraphs and relational database schemes*, J. Assoc. Comput. Mach. 30 (1983), pp. 514–550.
- [9] R. FAGIN, A. O. MENDELZON, AND J. D. ULLMAN, *A simplified universal relation assumption and its properties*, ACM Trans. Database Syst. 7 (1982), pp. 343–360.
- [10] N. GOODMAN AND O. SHMUELI, *Characterizations of tree database schemas*, Tech. report, Harvard University, Cambridge, Massachusetts, 1981.
- [11] M. H. GRAHAM, *On the universal relation*, Tech. report, University of Toronto, Toronto, Ontario, Canada, September 1979.
- [12] M. J. GRANNELL, T. S. GRIGGS, AND R. A. MATHON, *Steiner systems $S(5, 6, v)$ with $v = 72$ and 84*, Math. Comp. 67 (1998), pp. 357–359, S1–S9.
- [13] H. HANANI, *On quadruple systems*, Canad. J. Math. 12 (1960), pp. 145–157.
- [14] H. LI, *On acyclic and cyclic hypergraphs*, Ph.D. thesis, Institute of Applied Mathematics, Chinese Academy of Science, Beijing, China, 1999.
- [15] H. LI AND J. WANG, *On acyclic and cyclic hypergraphs*, J. Syst. Sci. Complex. 15 (2002), pp. 353–362.
- [16] R. E. TARJAN AND M. YANNAKAKIS, *Simple linear-time algorithms to test chordality of graphs, test acyclicity of hypergraphs and selectively reduce acyclic hypergraphs*, SIAM J. Comput. 13 (1984), pp. 566–579.
- [17] J. WANG, *The information hypergraph theory*, Science Press, Beijing, 2008.
- [18] J. WANG AND H. LI, *Enumeration of maximum acyclic hypergraphs*, Acta Math. Appl. Sin. 18 (2002), pp. 215–218.
- [19] M. YANNAKAKIS, *Algorithms for acyclic database schemes*, VLDB 1981 – Proceedings of the 7th International Conference on Very Large Data Bases, ACM Press, 1981, pp. 82–94.
- [20] C. T. YU AND M. Z. OZSOYOGU, *An algorithm for tree-query membership of a distributed query*, COMPSAC '79: Proceedings of the IEEE Computer Software and Applications Conference, IEEE Computer Society, 1979, pp. 306–312.
- [21] ———, *On determining tree-query membership of a distributed query*, Tech. Report 80-1, University of Alberta, Edmonton, Canada, January 1980.